IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA
CWP No. 1029 of 2001.
Date of Decision: 25th June, 2007.

Ram Mandir, Sood Dharamshala and another .. Petitioners.
Versus
Sohan Lal and others .. Respondents.

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dev Darshan Sud, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioners: Mr. K.D. Sood, Advocate.

For respondents 1 to 4: Mr. G.D. Verma, Senior Advocate with Mr. Romesh Verma, Advocate.

Dev Darshan Sud, J (Oral)

This petition has been filed against the order passed by the learned Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court on 12th June, 2001 in Application No.216 of 1996. The petitioners have challenged the award of the learned Labour Court passed under Section 33-C (2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter referred to as "the Act").

I have heard learned Counsel for the parties and gone through the record.

The findings of fact arrived at by the learned Labour Court cannot be reversed in proceedings under Article 226 of the Constitution of India unless there is perversity in appreciation of evidence or finding is based on conjectures. I do not find any perversity in the application of law or consideration of facts and the evidence on record. The writ petition is, therefore, dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

June 25, 2007. **(Dev Darshan Sud),**
(rc) **Judge.**

---

[1] Whether the reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?